

# 30 Day Feedback Period Review

## Water and Sanitation Policy

The amendments made to the Water and Sanitation Policy underwent a 30-day feedback period from September 25, 2018 to October 24, 2018. During the feedback period, the following comments were provided about the policy:

**Feedback:** What type of policy is this? Public service? then this needs to be selected.

**Response:** This is a Social Policy but this type is not presently listed in the template. We will address this issue with Strategic and Organizational Development (SOD).

**Feedback:** Do not think a committee wrote this document – perhaps reviewed as stakeholder group by I’m sure did not take hand to key board. This should be a name.

**Response:** According to the enacting MCR No. 73/1992-93 dated November 9, 1992, the W&S Committee is responsible for the review and updating the policy. We have followed what was decreed by Council.

**Feedback:** Is there conflict for council to be both owner and approval body?

**Response:** This social policy is supposed to come from Council. Unsure of where this person sees a conflict.

**Feedback:** Objective should be objective, not a background. This could be attached as a reference.

**Response:** The Strategic Plan does not have a specific objective for this policy. I think the policy on policies did not foresee this type of policy.

**Feedback:** Looks like you made up your own template – MCK is moving towards policy standard and we should all be using the approved MCK template.

**Response:** Please refer to opening statement.

**Feedback:** I believe the term is Council of Chiefs and not Chief and Council.

**Response:** Fixed

**Feedback:** Need to use “rule” verbs – must , will, shall and not encouraged or responsible as this implies nobody has to comply. This can lead to challenges by this community.

**Response:** This is a matter for SOD.

**Feedback:** Some of the policy statements have a lot of context giving challenge to actually see the policy rule. Example 3.10: a policy should not try to convince the reader but state in clear, concise statement what a person must do. Can say MCK has a grant or subsidy program to assist those listed on the KKR. The grant portion is defined so no need to repeat all of this.

**Response:** We will review this comment.

**Feedback:** Do not see the connection to the sanitary conditions law and will we be fined and have risk of jail time???

**Response:** This is detailed in the Sanitary Conditions Law under section 17. The law is on the MCK website so this person can verify.

**Feedback:** 4.2 – what agreement and who exactly terminates it?

**Response:** The agreement is listed as Item 7.2 Agreement for Water and Sewer Services. MCK can terminate the contract if clauses are breached. This has happened in the past.

**Feedback:** Version are written using three spaces : 0.00 and not use #. Consistency and standard makes our policies stronger and protects the organization from potential liability.

**Response:** This is a SOD item

**Feedback:** Where does enactment come from? Is this not covered in an MCED for this? Who signs off on this? This signatory section is removed?

**Response:** This policy is made by the Council of Chiefs and is enacted by them. Their instrument for enactment is a MCED.

**Feedback:** This document needs to be re-worked to be a policy.

**Response:** This is an opinion.

Many comments are about policy structure. According to our work plan, SOD will be reviewing the document to ensure alignment with the Policy on Policies at a later date.